

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (1430) Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.outop.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/797,884	03/10/2004	Eugenio Mannella	67,167-003/5706-03	5075
26966 7590 107242008 CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHA	M. MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dittill Coll III, III 10005			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: EUGENIO MANNELLA

Application No. 10/797,884 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: October 24, 2008

Before KRISTA ZELE Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator ZELE, Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 18, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, CLAIMS APPENDIX

A review of the Appeal Brief filed January 22, 2007 reveals that claim(s) 21 and 23 in the Claims appendix of the Appeal Brief are not in proper format and/or are not consistent as amended in the last entered amendment filed on February 21, 2006. The copy of the claims should be in proper format and should not include any markings such as brackets or underlining except for claims in a reissue application in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii). Furthermore, the Claims Appendix cannot assume entry of After Final Submissions for which an Advisory Action (or other Office communication) has not advised of entry. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1205.02 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

Specifically, claims 21 and 23, as provided in the Brief's Claims

Appendix, reads: "21. The lock assembly as recited in claim 17, wherein said male end is recessed within said plug"; and "23. The lock assembly as recited in claim 17, further comprising a retainer mountable to said male rear segment, said retainer mounted at least partially around said female end to axially retaining said spindle to said male rear segment".

However, in the last entered Amendment dated February 21, 2006, claim 21 and 23, and reads: "21. The lock assembly as recited in claim 17,

Application No. 10/797,884

wherein said male rear segment is recessed within said plug"; and "23. The

lock assembly as recited in claim 17, further comprising a retainer

mountable to said male rear segment, said retainer mounted at least partially

around said female end to axially retain said spindle to said male rear

segment". Appropriate correction of all claims provided in the Claims

Appendix in proper format is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

1) correction of the Claims Appendix of the Brief filed January 22,

2007;

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/Ssc

Carlson, Gaskey & Olds, P.C. 400 West Maple Road

Suite 350

Birmingham, MI 48009

3